

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/827,167	04/19/2004	James M. Alkove	MSFT-3491/306934.01	2413	
41505 WOODCOCK	7590 01/24/200 WASHRIIRN LLP (M	EXAMINER			
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION) CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR			ZEE, EDWARD		
2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	•	2135		
			<u> </u>		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/827,167	ALKOVE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Edward Zee	2135	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Edward Zee</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Joseph Oriti</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 16 January 2008.			,			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	r)  applicant's representative	·]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <i>Thoma et al.</i> (2002/0152393).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OINTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPRIEMENTS ON REVERSE SIDE OF ON Attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO			

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed proposed amendment regarding Claim 1 which clearly distinguishes a content provider that is separate from the transmitter. Examiner suggested clarifying that the transmitter receives encrypted digital content from said content provider. Furthermore, the Examiner suggested modifying the claim to elaborate on the trust relationship between the transmitter and the receiver. These proposed amendments as discussed will effectively overcome the Thoma et al. reference.